March 18, 2019

Mr. David Silberman Associate Director Research, Markets, and Regulations Consumer Financial Protection Bureau 1700 G Street NW Washington, D.C.

Re: Response to Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Payday, Vehicle Title, and Certain High-Cost Installment Loans; Delay of Compliance Date, RIN 3170-AA95 [Docket No. CFPB-2019-0007]

Dear Mr. Silberman:

The U.S. Chamber of Commerce appreciates the opportunity to respond to the notice of proposed rulemaking of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) regarding delaying the compliance date for the payday, vehicle title, and certain high-cost installment loans rulemaking (the Rule). We support a delay of the compliance date and urge the CFPB to:

- Ensure consumers maintain access to much-needed small dollar credit;
- Delay and reopen the entire rulemaking to allow appropriate time to cure issues that have arisen; and
- Coordinate with the prudential banking regulators as they investigate the most effective and efficient means for regulating the small dollar credit market.

¹ CFPB Response to Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Payday, Vehicle Title, and Certain High-Cost Installment Loans; Delay of Compliance Date, RIN 3170-AA95 [Docket No. CFPB-2019-0007]

Small Dollar Market Overview

Each year, consumers borrow nearly \$90 billion in loans a significant portion of which are small dollar loans mostly ranging in amount from \$300 to \$5,000 each.² According to the Federal Reserve Board (Fed), a significant percentage of Americans lack the ability to adequately address immediate financial needs, such as unexpected expenses, current month's bills, and medical costs. This financial stress was compounded for thousands of Americans with an event completely outside their control – the 35-day U.S. government shutdown. During the shutdown, five financial regulators issued a joint statement asking financial institutions to work with borrowers who were facing hardship at no fault of their own. ³

To better understand the financial strain of Americans, the Fed regularly conducts a Survey of Household Economics and Decisionmaking (SHED), which in 2017 found:

- 40% of adults would either not be able to cover an unexpected expense of \$400 or would cover it by selling something or borrowing money. This percentage is an improvement from 48 percent in 2013 when the survey began.
- Over one-fifth of adults are not able to fully pay off all of their current month's bills.
- Over one-fourth of adults skipped necessary medical care in 2017 because they could not afford the cost.⁴

Similarly, the Center for Financial Services Innovation (CFSI) found that many Americans cannot effectively manage their debt and do not plan ahead financially. CFSI's U.S. Financial Health Pulse (Pulse),⁵ based on CFSI's Financial Health Score

² OCC, "Comptroller Urges Banks to Meet Consumers' Short-Term, Small-Dollar Credit Needs" (May 23, 2018) https://www.occ.gov/news-issuances/news-releases/2018/nr-occ-2018-51.html

³ OCC joint statement, "Regulators Encourage Institutions to Work with Borrowers Affected by Government Shutdown" https://www.occ.treas.gov/news-issuances/news-releases/2019/nr-ia-2019-4.html

⁴ Fed "Survey of Household Economics and Decisionmaking of 2017" (May 2018) https://www.federalreserve.gov/publications/2018-economic-well-being-of-us-households-in-2017-executive-summary.htm

⁵ Center for Financial Services Innovation, U.S. Financial Health Pulse https://cfsinnovation.org/u-s-financial-health-pulse/

Mr. David Silberman March 18, 2019 Page 3

that is comprised of methodology, consumer surveys, and transactional records, reveals that:

- 47% of Americans are spending more than or equal to their income;
- 36% of Americans are not able to pay their bills on time;
- 30% of Americans say they have more debt than they can manage; and
- 40% of Americans do not plan ahead financially.

Access to small dollar credit critically supports Americans facing these immediate and pressing financial challenges. As the CFPB imposes requirements on small dollar loans, it is imperative that these Americans retain access to small dollar loan products from responsible sources, which can only occur if the CFPB encourages lenders to provide this type of credit. Absent the supply of small dollar credit from responsible lenders, consumers will inevitably meet their immediate and pressing financial needs with loans from less responsible lenders and on less favorable terms.

The CFPB Should Delay and Reopen the Entire Rule, while Coordinating with the Prudential Banking Regulators.

We applaud the CFPB for reopening the Rule⁶ and agree that if the compliance date is not delayed "industry participants will expend significant resources and incur significant costs in order to comply with the 2017 Final Rule, and industry participants could experience substantial revenue disruptions that could impact their ability to stay in business once the compliance date has passed."⁷ This sweeping rule has broad ramifications that will impact consumers' ability to obtain much-needed small dollar credit, as noted above.

Further, the Rule inadvertently sweeps in traditional banking products that were not intended to be covered. To mitigate disruptions to the lending market, it is critical that these issues are resolved before the rule becomes effective.

⁶ Payday, Vehicle Title, and Certain High-Cost Installment Loans, 82 Fed. Reg. 54472 (2017).

⁷ NPRM pg 4.

I. The CFPB Should Coordinate with the Prudential Banking Regulators to Achieve One Workable Standard.

It is critical that the CFPB coordinate with the prudential banking regulators to establish consistent standards for the small dollar marketplace. Since the CFPB finalized the Rule in 2017, the prudential regulators have been actively engaged in shaping the regulatory framework for the small dollar credit market. In May 2018, we were pleased that the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) released a statement⁸ and "Core Lending Principles for Short-Term, Small-Dollar Installment Lending" encouraging banks to offer these types of loans. In his statement, Comptroller Otting illustrated the demand for short term, small dollar loans and urged banks to offer affordable credit, especially to consumers who have weaker credit history and ability to repay. He stated, "[b]anks may not be able to serve all of this large market, but they can reach a significant portion of it and bring additional options and more competition to the marketplace while delivering safe, fair, and affordable products that promote the long-term financial goals of their customers." ¹⁰

Similarly, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) published a request for information (RFI) on November 20, 2018 and asked how the FDIC can better enable banks to provide such products to meet consumer demand. In the RFI, the FDIC "recognizes the important role small-dollar credit products can play... [by] addressing cash-flow imbalances, unexpected expenses, or income volatility." We responded to the FDIC RFI and asked the FDIC to: 1. Pursue an interagency short term, small dollar rule to establish a workable, consistent standard; 2. Coordinate with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau as it reassesses its Payday Loan Rule; and 3. Rescind the harmful Deposit Advance Product Guidance.

As indicated above, a healthy small dollar loan market is critical for the financial health of American consumers. To create a robust market that adequately serves

⁸ OCC, "Comptroller Urges Banks to Meet Consumers' Short-Term, Small-Dollar Credit Needs" (May 23, 2018) https://www.occ.gov/news-issuances/news-releases/2018/nr-occ-2018-51.html
⁹ OCC BULLETIN 2018-14, "Description: Core Lending Principles for Short-Term, Small-Dollar Installment Lending" (May 23, 2018) https://www.occ.gov/news-issuances/bulletins/2018/bulletin-2018-14.html

OCC, "Comptroller Urges Banks to Meet Consumers' Short-Term, Small-Dollar Credit Needs" (May 23, 2018) https://www.occ.gov/news-issuances/news-releases/2018/nr-occ-2018-51.html
 Request for Information on Small-Dollar Lending, FDIC, 83 Fed. Reg. 58566, (Nov. 20, 2018) available at https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2018-11-20/pdf/2018-25257.pdf.
 FDIC RFI at 58567.

Mr. David Silberman March 18, 2019 Page 5

consumers' needs, financial institutions need clear guidelines on how to do so. With multiple regulators having jurisdiction over this market, it is imperative that the regulators are coordinating to produce a unified standard.

II. Wealth Management Products are not the unfair, deceptive, and abuses acts and practices the rule is intended to address.

Although the CFPB indicated it will revisit the "ability to repay" requirements of the rule as part of the reassessment, we hope it will consider all aspects of the rule, including its definitions of covered products. One unintended consequence of the Rule is that traditional bank loans that are neither short-term nor small-dollar nor high cost could be captured. This would restrict the ability of depository institutions to serve their customers. Some institutions have expressed concern that the Rule would inappropriately capture consumer loans that are not "small dollar" or "payday" loans and subject such loans to the rule's payment withdrawal restrictions and notice provisions.

Importantly, the Rule does not have a loan amount threshold, so a loan for \$300 and a loan for \$300,000 could both be considered "covered loans" if they meet one of the rule's three primary definitions. For example, each of the following consumer loan products could be considered a "covered product" under the rule regardless of the amount of the loan:

- A line-of-credit with an interest-only feature;
- A 30-day bridge loan;
- A margin loan; and
- A line-of-credit with a low drawn amount, a finance charge during a billing cycle, and auto-pay.

Under the Rule, it would be an unfair and abusive practice for a lender to make further attempts to withdraw a payment from a consumer's account in connection with a covered loan after two failed attempts due to a lack of sufficient funds, unless the lender obtains the consumer's new and specific authorization to make further withdrawals from the account.¹³ Additionally, the Rule would require lenders to

¹³ Note that the rule exempts from this provision attempted withdrawals by banks and other lenders that hold the consumer's account from which the transfer is attempted, if, pursuant to its agreement with the consumer, the bank does not charge a non-sufficient funds or overdraft fee for the attempted withdrawal.

Mr. David Silberman March 18, 2019 Page 6

maintain a compliance program reasonably designed to ensure compliance with the rule, retain records of compliance, images of loan agreements, and payment authorizations, and furnish new payment and consumer rights notices according to the timelines and formats called for by the rule.

It is unlikely these types of traditional bank products, designed for credit-worthy borrowers, would generate the type of UDAAP that the short-term, high-cost, small-dollar loans identified by the Rule intends to address. To mitigate unintended consequences to the traditional bank products and wealth management lending market, we ask that the CFPB delay the entire Rule and reconsider the covered loan portion of the Rule.

Conclusion

Consumers need the option of responsibly-provided short term, small dollar credit, especially when unexpected expenses arise. We thank the CFPB for reopening the Rule, but urge it to go further by delaying and reopening the entire rulemaking. Before such a sweeping rule goes into effect, it is imperative that vast unintended consequences are cured to mitigate disruptions to the small dollar, retail bank, and wealth management credit markets.

We look forward to working with the CFPB as it reassesses the Rule and welcome the opportunity to be a resource.

Sincerely,

Kate Prochaska

Vice President, Regulatory Counsel